

**Performance Questionnaire**  
**[TO BE COMPLETED BY CUSTOMERS, SUBCONTRACTORS, AND PARTNERS]**

RFP FA8818-04-R-0010  
SECTION L ANNEX 2

**Section 1: Contract Identification**

<b>A. Contractor and Division (if applicable):</b>	<b>B. Cage Code:</b> (Contractor contract was awarded to)
<b>C. Contract No:</b>	<b>D. Contract Type:</b>
<b>E. Was this a competitive contract:</b> Y      N	<b>F. Period of Performance:</b>
<b>G. Initial Contract Cost:</b>	<b>H. Current/Final Contract Cost:</b>
<b>I. Reasons for differences between initial contract costs and final contract costs:</b>	
<b>J. Initial Estimated Completion Date:</b>	<b>K. Current/ Final Estimated Completion Date:</b>
<b>L. Reasons for differences between initial and final completion dates:</b>	
<b>M. Description of service(s) provided:</b>	

**Section 2: Customer or Agency Identification**

<b>A. Customer/agency name:</b>	
<b>B. Customer/agency POC:</b>	<b>C. Customer/agency phone/fax number:</b>
<b>D: Customer/agency description:</b>	
<b>E: Geographic description of services under this contract (i.e., local, nationwide, worldwide, other Commands):</b>	

**Section 3. Evaluator Identification**

<b>A. Evaluator's name:</b>
<b>B. Evaluator's title:</b>
<b>C. Evaluator's organization:</b>
<b>D. Evaluator's phone:</b>
<b>E. Time period evaluator worked on subject contract (provide beginning &amp; end dates):</b>

**Section 4. Evaluation**

Please indicate your satisfaction with the contractor’s performance by placing an “X” in the appropriate block using the scale provided to the right of each question. This scale is defined as follows:

<b>Blue/ Exceptional (B)</b>	<b>Purple/ Very Good (P)</b>	<b>Green/ Satisfactory (G)</b>	<b>Yellow/ Marginal (Y)</b>	<b>Red/ Unsatisfactory (R)</b>	<b>Neutral (N)</b>
Meets contractual requirements and exceeds many requirements to the Government’s benefit. The contractual performance was accomplished with few minor problems for which corrective actions taken by the contractor were highly effective.	Meets contractual requirements and exceeds some requirements to the Government’s benefit. The contractual performance was accomplished with some minor problems for which corrective actions taken by the contractor were effective.	Meets contractual requirements. The contractual performance contained some minor problems for which corrective actions taken by the contractor appear to be or were satisfactory.	Does not meet some contractual requirements. The contractual performance reflects a serious problem for which corrective actions or proposed actions taken by the contractor appear only marginally effective or were not fully implemented.	Does not meet most contractual requirements and recovery is not likely in a timely manner. The contractual performance contains serious problem(s) for which the contractor’s corrective actions appear or were ineffective.	Unable to provide a score.

<b>B</b>	<b>P</b>	<b>G</b>	<b>Y</b>	<b>R</b>	<b>N</b>
----------	----------	----------	----------	----------	----------

**Subfactor 1: Vehicle Performance**

Contractor met desired throw weight to orbit within contract specifications (M2.c.1.1.1)					
Contractor met desired insertion accuracy within contract specifications (M2.c.1.1.2)					
Contractor provided desired attitude control within contract specifications (M2.c.1.1.3)					
Contractor met the requirement for a collision avoidance maneuver to minimize payload contamination and preclude re-contact between the deployed payloads and the launch vehicle. (M2.c.1.1.4)					

**Subfactor 2: Design Maturity**

Contractor met telemetry requirements for the launch vehicle and provided necessary payload pass through data. (M2.c.1.2.2)					
Contractor met EMI/EMC with no adverse effects from electromagnetic radiation. (M2.c.1.2.3)					
The launch vehicle was available for launch per program schedule requirements. (M2.c.1.2.4)					

<b>B</b>	<b>P</b>	<b>G</b>	<b>Y</b>	<b>R</b>	<b>N</b>
----------	----------	----------	----------	----------	----------

Contractor met mission reliability requirements and provided comprehensive analysis identifying pre-launch mission reliability. (M2.c.1.2.5)					
Contractor's quality assurance plan identifies the spacelift vehicle(s) verification process to include the development test, qualification test, system integration test, flight proof test and integration testing and how verified. (M2.c.1.2.6)					
Contractor's ICDs with the range and payload provider, identified the mechanical, electrical, and telemetry interfaces. (M2.c.1.2.7)					
Contractor demonstrated adequate expertise in management positions and provided management support for the mission. (M2.c.1.2.8)					
Contractor provides a realistic IMP and IMS that include all major tasks, events, and significant accomplishments and their corresponding dates. Contractor met major mission milestones as presented in the IMP/IMS. (M2.c.1.2.9 and 10)					

**Subfactor 3: Operations and Range Integration**

Contractor met range safety requirements and includes a command destruct system, test plan and tracking system. (M2.c.1.3.1)					
Contractor provided adequate transportation and handling of launch vehicle and support equipment. (M2.c.1.3.2)					
Contractor provided inputs to or developed the UDS documents to interface with a government range. (M2.c.1.3.5)					

**Subfactor 4: Payload Accomodation**

The Contractor demonstrates the ability to accommodate payload mechanical interfaces, including volume, weight, access, CG and separating/non-separating platforms. (M2.c.1.4.1)					
The Contractor demonstrates the ability to accommodate payload electrical interfaces, including power, telemetry, and physical (umbilical/connections). (M2.c.1.4.2)					
The Contractor demonstrates the ability to accommodate payload environments/loads including acoustic, shock, vibration, transient loads, steady state acceleration, temperature, humidity and cleanliness. (M2.c.1.4.3)					

Discussion

Please discuss each and every response to the above questions for which you indicated B (Blue/Exceptional), Y (Yellow/Marginal) or R (Red/Unsatisfactory). Use additional sheets, if necessary.

---



---



---

---

**FOR GOVERNMENT CONTRACTS ONLY**

Has/was this contract been partially or completely terminated for default or convenience or is there any pending termination?

Yes\_\_\_\_ Default\_\_\_\_ Convenience\_\_\_\_ Pending Termination\_\_\_\_  
No \_\_\_\_

If yes, please explain (e.g., inability to meet cost, performance, schedule, etc.)

---

---

---

**Section 5. Narrative Summary**

1. Did the contractor satisfy Small Business Subcontracting goals?

---

---

2. Would you have any reservations about soliciting this contractor in the future or having them perform one of your critical and demanding programs?

---

---

3. Please provide any additional comments concerning the contractor's strengths, weaknesses, or performance, as desired.

---

---

---

SOURCE SELECTION INFORMATION - See FAR 3.104  
FOR OFFICIAL USE ONLY